

A C-suite united on cyber-ready futures

Reflections from the Netherlands





It's a bold new world in business.

Driven by events no one could have foreseen, leaders in recent years have pushed their companies and themselves beyond their comfort zones: out of the office to remote workplaces; into the cloud; along chains of supply that are almost completely digital. And with each new venture has come new cyber risks.

CISOs and cyber teams are rising to the challenge. C-suites are joining forces with them, recognising that their bold moves have increased their organisations' cyber risk exposure.

Good news: progress in cybersecurity since 2020

More than 70% of the 3,522 business and tech executives we surveyed saw improvements in their enterprise's cybersecurity this year - thanks to cumulative investments and C-suite collaboration. More than a quarter (26%) reported progress in all 10 of the areas we identified as critical to cyber maturity.

Cyber has progressed on many fronts, C-suite execs report

In the Netherlands, C-suite executives were less confident in the progress of cybersecurity in their organisations in the last 12 months across the board. Particular attention must be paid to the value and efficiency of the resources employed and the collaboration with operational technology.



Responded effectively to a breach or attack while ensuring no



Q: Please indicate whether or not your organisation's cybersecurity team has accomplished the following in the past 12 months. Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey



Among those who saw improvement across the 10 areas:

- CEOs are 3x more likely to say their CISOs are delivering exceptional results in outcomes like responding faster to threats and anticipating future cyber risks. Nearly 8% said the CISO is doing so in every area.
- CROs/COOs are 2x more likely to rate as exceptional their cyber and privacy programmes. More than 5% said their programmes are doing so in every outcome.
- CMOs/CDOs/CPOs are 2.5x more likely to agree that their cyber and privacy programmes are valuable to the organisation. Their greatest benefit: confidence in the ability to nurture consumer trust.



High marks for CISOs and their teams from other execs CEO view

8% of CEOs called the CISO 'exceptional' in every area

% who say CISO is delivering exceptionally against expectations

Responds faster to threats and emerges stronger from disruptions

48%

Helps avoid getting our organisation in trouble with regulators

46%

Helps anticipate future cyber risks, given the macro environment and the business strategy

45%

Helps expedite the digital transformation of our organisation

44%

Puts controls in place throughout the organisation to prevent serious cyber disruptions

44%

Helps influence customer buying decisions based on trust in our organisation's data security & privacy practices

42%

Helps our organisation compete better and grow, on the basis of trust as a competitive advantage

41%

Q: How is the Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) delivering against the following outcomes and expectations with regard to your organisation's cybersecurity in 2022-2023?

Base: 919 CEOs and corporate directors

High marks for CISOs and their teams from other execs CRO and COO view

5% said their programmes are doing so in every outcome

6% called their programme exceptional

% who say their cyber and security programme is delivering exceptionally



Q: In your view, how well is the cybersecurity and privacy programme delivering against the following outcomes and expectations with regard to your organisation's cybersecurity in 2021-2022?

Base: 711 CROs, COOs, and senior executives in risk and compliance and operations Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

High marks for CISOs and their teams from other execs CDO, CPO, CMO view

% who say they strongly agree with these statements about their cybersecurity and privacy programme and team



Q: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the value you draw from your cybersecurity and privacy programmes and teams?

Base: 412 CDOs, CPOs, CMOs and senior executives of customer-facing functions Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey



Digitisation makes security everyone's business. The future promises more connected systems and exponentially more data — and more organised adversaries. With ever-expanding cyber risks, business leaders have much more work to do — and in a tough economic environment.

Fewer than 40% of respondents say they have fully mitigated the risks their bold moves incurred since 2020. Remote work (38%) and the move to the cloud (35%) have commanded the most attention. Larger organisations (more than \$1 billion in revenues) and those based in North America are far more likely to have said they've mitigated these risks. Less than 3% of respondents say they have done so fully with all 10 risks.

Cyber has progressed on many fronts, C-suite execs report

Dutch respondents are significantly less confident on having fully mitigated cybersecurity risks in the last 12 months. With that number ranging from 24% for launching new products and services to a staggering 11% for its increased data volumes.

Launching new products and/or services	24%	54% 50%	19% 3% 12% 2 <mark>%</mark> 2%
Entering new markets	22%	59%	8% 11%
	31%	47%	13% 2 <mark>%</mark> 5%
Accelerated cloud adoption	22%	62%	14% 3%
	36%	49%	11% 4%
Enabling remote and hybrid work	19%	<u>68%</u>	14%
	37%	49%	11%2 <mark>%</mark> 1%
Increased digitisation of delivery	19%	<u>57%</u>	11% 14%
mechanisms to customers	33%	50%	13% 2 <mark>%</mark> 2%
Convergence of IT and operational technology (OT)	19%	46% 11%	8% 16%
	34%	49%	11% 1 <mark>%</mark> 3%
Increased use of Internet of Things	16%	<mark>62%</mark>	14% <mark>3</mark> %5%
	34%	45%	13% <mark>2</mark> %5%
Increased digitisation of back-office operations other than supply chain and customer interactions	14%	<u>68%</u>	<u>19%</u>
	32%	52%	13% 1 <mark>%</mark> 2%
Increased digitisation of supply chain	14%	<u>65%</u>	19% 3%
	32%	51%	12% 1 <mark>%</mark> 2%
Increased data volumes	11%	65%	19% 5%
	34%	52%	11% 3%
Fully mitigated Moderately mitigated	Slightly mitigated	Not mitigated 📃 Not a	applicable/ don't know
Q: To what extent has your organization mitigated the cybersecurity risk associated with each of the following in the last 12 months? Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Surve			



By their own assessment, CISOs see the need to advance further on five basic cyber capabilities outlined in the US National Institute of Security and Technology's (NIST) <u>Cybersecurity Framework</u>. Only three percent responded that they were "optimising" in all five. Larger organisations with revenues greater than \$1 billion are more likely to say they're optimising for Identify (21%). Those that have seen revenue increases and expect increases to continue are more likely to be optimising for all.



CISOs see the need to advance further on five cyber capabilities

Only 3% responded that they were "optimising" in all five

Q: Thinking about your cyber-security capabilities in their entirety, please indicate how mature your organisation is in each of the following areas. Base: 465 CISOs



Senior executives worry that their enterprise isn't fully prepared to address heightened threats. Topping the 2023 list of rising organisational threats are cybercriminal activity (65%); mobile devices (41%), email (40%), cloud-based breaches (38%); and business email compromise/account takeover (33%) and ransomware (32%).

Organisations worry about more threats and cyber events in 2023

Threat actors

Similar to results from previous years, the primary threat actor perceived by the Dutch respondents is the 'Cyber Criminal'. Compared to their global counterparts, the Dutch respondents of the DTI Survey portrayed a heightened concern on the actions of nation states. In contrast, Dutch respondents are significantly less concerned about the threat posed by competitors.



Q: For each of the threat actors below, which do you expect to significantly affect your organisation in 2023 compared to 2022? Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

NL results Global results

Organisations worry about more threats and cyber events in 2023

Pathways for attacks

When compared to global respondents, Dutch respondents were less concerned with most threat vectors, with the notable exception of the third party/nth party. In particular, operational technology and endpoints ranked significantly below the global baseline.



Q: For each of the pathways by which adversaries can gain access to your systems, please select those that you expect to significantly affect your organisation in 2023 compared to 2022.

Organisations worry about more threats and cyber events in 2023

Cyber events

% who say these attacks to their organisation will increase from 2022 to 2023

Business email compromise / Account takeovers	33%	
Ransomware	32%	
Attacks against cloud management interfaces	31%	
Hack-and-leak operations	30%	
Third-party breach	29%	
Exploits of cloud component services	28%	
Software supply chain compromise	26%	
Theft of intellectual property for the purpose of commercialisation	26%	
Attacks on industrial internet of things (IIoT) or OT	26%	
Distributed denial-of-service (DDOS) attacks	25%	
Exploitation of misconfiguration	23%	
Exploits of zero-day vulnerabilities	23%	
Disinformation	22%	
Unauthorised cryptomining	20%	
Hardware supply chain implants	19%	
Nation-state traditional espionage (cyber and / or physical aspects)	17%	
Nation state-sponsored attack on critical infrastructure	11%	
None of the above	3%	

Q: Which of the following attacks to your organisation do you expect to significantly increase in 2023 compared to 2022? Base: 3,522

Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

New challenges for 2023

 Only 9% of the respondents feel highly confident that they can effectively meet all disclosure requirements even as pressure mounts from regulators to report cyber incidents.

In Europe, for instance, the EU Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) requires that critical service providers to report to national authorities in the event of any significant cybersecurity incident.

The US Securities and Exchange Commission is considering a <u>rule</u> that would require publicly held companies to disclose their cyber risk management, strategy, governance and "material" cyber incidents.

And proposed US Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) rules, mandated by <u>the Cyber</u> <u>Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act of 2022</u> (CIRCIA) signed into law last March, would require organisations in 16 critical infrastructure sectors to report major cyber attacks and breaches within 72 hours and to report within 24 hours any ransomware payments they make.

- Senior executives are bracing not only for a catastrophic cyber attack but also for global recession, a new health crisis, persistent inflation, and supply chain bottlenecks. Yet only 7% approach resilience in an integrated fashion.
- Consumers, consumer-friendly regulators, privacy advocates and ESG activists are gaining ground. Data security and privacy are the Achilles' heel of many organisations. Fewer than 5% of senior executives say they always implement 10 standard and leading practices to protect and govern customer data.

Senior executives are bracing not only for a catastrophic cyber attack but also for global recession, a new health crisis, high inflation, and supply chain bottlenecks. Yet only eight percent approach resilience in an integrated fashion.

C-suite playbook on cyber

PwC | Global and Netherlands Digital Trust Insights 2023



Cybersecurity has become a dynamic field, rapidly adjusting and shifting to keep apace with business inventiveness.

Agility is what's needed for the tougher challenges ahead. How can you continue to make a difference? Where should CISOs and cyber teams wield influence for the greatest effect?

The C-suite playbook on cybersecurity, featuring our latest Global Digital Trust Insights, highlights what you've done, what you're doing now, and what you need to do to tackle the challenges of 2023 — with your fellow executives, all working together to build **cyber-ready futures**.

Cyber in the suite spot

CISO are seizing the initiative to truly **lead** — to step out of their independent cyber-specialist role and into one of partnering with not just with a few executives, but the entire C-suite. These collaborations have never been more critical.

Forty-two percent of senior executives say cyber breaches of their systems have increased since 2020. Executives and boards should ask again and again, "Are we affected?" and, if not, "Are we vulnerable?"

More than a quarter had a consequential data breach in the past three years that cost more than \$1 million. Around 10% suffered costs of \$10 million or more, CISOs and CFOs reported.

To CFOs, the most devastating consequences when a breach (other than a data breach) occurred were:

- Downtime or disruptions
- Damage to service and product quality
- Lost contracts and business opportunities

To privacy and data executives who work with the public, a breach's most dire effects were:

- Lost customers
- Costs to recover data (not counting ransom payments)
- Lost customer data (if not recovered)

These gut-wrenching moments can serve as a catalyst to collaboration, sharpening awareness of a single breach's ripple effects from shop floor to boardroom and calling the entire C-suite to act — together.

As a result, the C-suite has renewed its resolve to do better on cyber and privacy. To get there, top executives are starting to understand the need to work as a cohesive unit — the "suite" spot.

At the center is the CISO, empowered by the CEO to advocate, collaborate, and orchestrate a better cyber future. Forty-six percent of CEOs — 49% at previously breached organisations — want to give the CISO more authority to drive collaboration on security next year.

A note:

Management teams are organised differently around the world, so we may refer to C-suite titles that might not exist in your organisation.

Consider the titles as shorthand for the executive tasked with cybersecurity in the organisation (CISO) who works with the executives responsible for the overall business (CEO), management oversight and governance (the board), technology infrastructure (CIO/ CTO), cyber investments (CFO), operations and supply chain (COO), risk management (CRO), data (CDO / CPO) and human resources (CHRO).

CEOs take a more active stance on cybersecurity this year

More than half of CEOs say they'll demand a cyber risk management plan for each major business or operational change. And more than half say they'll spearhead major initiatives such as streamlining the supply chain and eliminating products that weaken the enterprise's cyber posture.



CEOs at companies that have suffered breaches in the past are even more determined to change how cyber gets done.

CEOs want more information to help them do a better job overseeing their organisations' cyber programmes. More than 35% prioritise three areas for better reporting:

- Cyber risk assessments and practices
- Business continuity plans, contingency, and recovery plans in the case of a cyber incident
- A dashboard on key cyber risks

CISOs are <u>learning</u> how to give their CEOs just the right information on their cyber programme and the risks their organisation faces.

Message to the CEO

Where can you, the CEO, make the most difference in cybersecurity? Big changes may be the most effective way to improve cyber posture — and only you can instigate them.

Are you tolerating avoidable, unnecessary complexities in your operations and technologies? This needs to change.

But so often, investigations after a major cyber breach reveal weaknesses more systemic than technological, caused by a lack of focus among enterprise leaders on addressing weaknesses that teams knew existed. Are you ready to support a dramatic turnaround? It could be the most effective fix.

Perhaps a disconnect among your senior executives or between your business and cyber functions is slowing your efforts at growth — an app for consumer use, perhaps; a new business line that uses artificial intelligence; expansion into a new market; or the use of the Industrial Internet of Things in your factory. Isn't it time you joined forces to secure it all? CEOs forging ahead to tackle cyber insecurity - and win

4% say they plan to be involved in all 6 ways

% of CEOs who say they plan to personally be involved in cyber matters



Q: Which, if any, of the following actions do you intend to take over the next 12 months to be involved in cybersecurity matters in your organisation? Base: 795 CEOs Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

CALL TO ACTION: Speak out about your commitment to cyber. Use your influence to inspire sweeping changes and create a united front against attacks. Rally the C-suite around the notion that the secure way could truly be the easier way to business success.



Boards can spur better cyber reporting to improve oversight

Boards are more engaged in cyber as their companies face increasing risks. Fifty-four percent say their organisation has taken on more cyber risks as it pursues more digitisation, and 44% report an increase in cyber breaches into their system since 2020.



They recognise the challenge of keeping

up with cyber. Today, less than half of board respondents say that their board governs cyber "very effectively" in six areas. Fewer than half, for instance, say they "very effectively" understand the causes and effects of cyber risks (37%) or oversee the alignment of cyber risk management to business needs (43%). Only 9% of board respondents say that their board governs cyber "very effectively" across all areas.

But the future of cyber oversight could be different. Corporate directors are willing to learn about cyber and devote time to it. They say these will help them do a better job governing cybersecurity in 2023:

- Internal board training by management (47%)
- Increased frequency of meetings focused on cyber (47%)
- Improved reporting on cyber incidents, practices, and improvements (44%)
- Adding a board member with cyber expertise (43%)

CISOs and the C-suite can help boards get savvy about the cybersecurity of their organisation, especially on these top improvements that boards would like to see in cyber reporting:

- A scorecard / dashboard that helps board members understand the key cyber risks to the organisation with relevant metrics
- The organisation's cybersecurity strategy and how it is aligned with its overall strategy
- The business continuity, contingency and recovery plans in the event of a cyber incident

Boards are more engaged in cyber as their companies face increasing risks.

Boards know they need to be better cyber stewards

9% say their board governance is very effective on all 6 counts

Oversight of the alignment of cyber risk management to the business' needs

43%

41% 12	2% 4	<mark>.</mark> %
--------	------	------------------

Understanding the drivers and impacts of cyber risks to the organisation

<mark>37%</mark> 42% 14% <mark>3</mark>%

Alignment of cyber investments against the most important risks

37% 44% **12%** 6%

Overseeing the organisation's collaboration with public sector on cyber matters

36%	43%	16% <mark>3</mark> %
-----	-----	----------------------

Monitoring the organisation's systemic resilience to cyber threats

35%	44%	17%	2%
00/0	11/0		

Understanding how the organisation's design supports cybersecurity goals



Q: In your view, how well do you in your role as a board member exercise governance over the following areas of cybersecurity in your organisation today? Base: 124 corporate directors

CALL TO ACTION: First, allot more time to the CISO and cyber matters on your agenda. Second, don't settle for board reporting that doesn't give you confidence and insights that the organisation is managing the cyber risks related to its strategic moves. Cybersecurity is not an end state, so monitor how the company is making progress in its cyber posture and ability to defend against emerging threats. Ask to take part in exercises that help you understand your organisation's cyber resilience.

The new era of cyber transparency

Stakeholders clamor for more information about how companies manage their cyber risk exposure.

Regulators want visibility into cyber practices because they want to protect citizens from fraud and loss of privacy, help investors make better decisions, and prevent industry- or system-wide disruptions. India has published guidance on cyber incident notification required under the Information Technology Act. The UK Financial Reporting Council has issued guidance about digital security risk disclosures after it found that current disclosures by some FTSE 350 companies are not meeting investor needs and are often 'boilerplate' and overly static. In addition to the rules pending with the Securities and Exchange Commission (proposal) and the Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (law), the New York State Department of Financial Services is weighing a proposal that turns leading practices into regulatory requirements for covered entities.

Investors are looking for consistent and comparable disclosures so they can put their money in companies that fit their needs. Cyber incidents can affect shareholder value — temporarily or permanently. Individuals know how their data and privacy are vulnerable to cyber breaches. **Business partners** want their data and other assets to be safe. These stakeholders want to understand how much they can trust in the ability of businesses and entire systems to withstand increasing cyber threats.

The C-suite sees an upside to all this transparency. Fourfifths of senior executives in our survey agree that mandatory disclosure of cyber incidents, with comparable and consistent formats, is necessary to gain stakeholder confidence and trust.

But fewer than 10% are confident that their enterprise can comply. More than half are <u>not confident</u> that they:

- Can provide required information about a material or significant incident within the required reporting period after the incident (58% not confident)
- Can assess the materiality of a cyber incident for purposes of reporting (58%)
- Can describe the relevant cyber expertise on the board for purposes of reporting (59%)
- Have a policy stating which information can or cannot be disclosed regarding cyber incidents (60%)
- Can provide information about third-party risk management (63%)

CALL TO ACTION: CISOs can position their teams to work with the CFO, general counsel and other senior executives to prepare to translate strategy and practices into an accurate, cohesive and compelling narrative on the company's cyber risk management practices. The new era of cyber transparency means that CISOs should become adept at presenting information in a way that the board, senior management and investors can understand and act upon. It requires a communication strategy that's different from the everyday jargon of cybersecurity.



CISO + CIO/CTO

"Is our cloud security plan as agile as our business is, itself, in the cloud?" That's the question CIOs and CISOs should be asking now.

Cloud-based threats are increasing at nearly 40% of organisations. Meanwhile, nearly two-thirds of senior executives say they haven't fully mitigated the risks of cloud adoption.

The news isn't all negative. Half of CISOs, CIOs and CTOs say they've made progress in putting governance in place, credential management, resource permissioning, cloud security configurations and API management.

But only 19% are "very confident" that their organisation has adequately secured all these common cloud-breach pathways.

The CIO or CTO and their DevOps team may feel eager — or pressured — to take advantage of the agility, speed and collaboration working in the cloud affords.

Cloud security initiatives are paying off, but more work remains

Only 19% are very confident that their cloud environment is secured appropriately against all four Credential / secrets 59% management Resource permissioning / public vs private 56% 39 configuration of storage Cloud security setting 54% 390 failures / misconfigurations Poor API management 52% 40% Very confident Somewhat confident Not at all confident Don't know / Not applicable

Q: With regard to your cloud environment, how confident are you that your organisation is secured appropriately against the following reasons for cloud security breaches?

Base: 1,253 ClOs, ClSOs, CTOs and other senior IT and security executives Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey They may allow developers to stand up projects in a cloud environment before security is in place, or "lift and shift" existing systems into the cloud with plans to secure them later.

These personnel may sidestep the CISO to avoid the methodical, security-first approach the CISO would almost certainly advise. In the fast-paced digital world, the business side knows, enterprises need speed to achieve their objectives. When agility and speed are the goals, who needs brakes?

But cohesive governance is key, especially in a multi-cloud environment where each cloud service provider has different security abilities and requirements. Frequent releases of new features and updates means that, like the clouds in the sky, your enterprise cloud environment is continually changing.

In 2023, it's time to design an <u>overarching security</u> <u>architecture</u> that includes all the cloud platforms your enterprise is using.

Bring together all your enterprise security controls so you can secure them from one location, and with as much automation as possible.

Build infrastructure-as-code (IaC) and DevSecOps tooling to automatically set the right security checks on all your cloud platforms. CISOs might provide developers with cloud security services that are easy to use and conform to the organisation's security policies. Building an encryption API for developer use, for instance, can help speed an application's time to market as well as confirm that encryption uses companyapproved protocols.

Message to CIOs and CTOs

Form alliances with your CISO and DevSecOps team. Adopt the "shift left" paradigm and start putting in place cloud security mechanisms before cloud use begins - or, if it's too late for that, as soon as possible.

Fast development and strong controls can go hand-in-hand. Leading companies design and administer <u>controls</u> that operate not at the sluggish pace often associated with oversight, but at the quick and agile speed of DevOps. In these organisations, everyone wins.

CALL TO ACTION: To secure your back-end, frontend, IoT and operational technologies, work with the CISO to lock down your cloud environments.





CFOs and CISOs get serious about cyber investment risks vs. rewards



Companies continue to increase their spending on cybersecurity. Sixty-five percent of senior executives tell us they expect an increase in 2023, compared to 69% in 2022.

Companies rein in cyber spending increases

But they aren't increasing cyber budgets as much as they did for 2022. While more than a quarter of enterprises expected 2022 cyber outlays to rise by more than 10%, fewer than one-fifth expect that rate of escalation next year.

Not surprisingly, organisations that have been breached were significantly more likely to say that they would boost their 2023 cyber spending: 68% versus 55% that have not been breached. And among larger enterprises (with yearly revenues greater than \$1 billion), 10% said their cyber outlays would rise by 15% or more.

In the Netherlands, the picture of budget increase or decrease was less clear. While 49% of respondents believed the budget would be increased, 51% believed it would be unchanged, decreased, were reluctant to answer due to economic and business uncertainty or did not know.



Q: How is your organisation's cyber budget changing in 2023? Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Dutch respondents were more likely to disagree with the budget reflecting their cyber priorities, being adequate to help create value to their organisations, being informed by the quantification of cyber risks and being well allocated against the risks faced by the organisation. This might have had an impact on determining if the budget would increase or not and ability in getting authorisation for budget increases.

Budgeting for cyber is changing

When they budget for cyber, enterprises are taking a more expansive approach. Nearly 4 out of 10 CEOs, CFOs, and CISOs tell us their companies now fund cybersecurity as a percentage of *all* tech spending, including OT and automation. Another 15% say they budget for cyber as a percentage of revenue.

How organisations set their cyber budgets



- As a percentage of combined IT spend and automation / operational technology spend
- As a percentage of total IT spend
- As a percentage of revenue growth
- As a percentage change from previous period's cyber budget
 - As an aggregation of proposed funding / resources to support approved business and risk mitigation projects / activities

Q: How does your organisation set its cyber budget? Base: 2,498 CEO, CFO, CISO, CIO, CTO and other executives in finance, security, IT roles

Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Many have begun to shift their cyber investment strategy, as well. More than half say they're choosing "to a great extent" how to spend on cyber in line with seven key parameters, including:

- Aligned with the overall business strategy (55%)
- Reflecting cyber priorities (55%)
- Adding value to the organisation (52%)
- Balancing immediate and long-term needs (51%)
- Informed by risk quantification (51%)
- Considers the risk appetite of the organisation (51%)
- Allocated well against the risks that the organisation faces (51%)

We saw this shift coming in our <u>2021 survey</u>, in which 50% of senior executives said they wanted to see budgets better reflecting business strategy, 44% wanted a better budgeting process and 44% wanted to quantify risks.

Fewer than 8%, however, indicated that they'd made this shift in every area. Respondents from the largest organisations were significantly more likely to say that they've evolved how they invest, with 60% saying their spending supports the business strategy, for example.

Modernisation of tech

Cyber tech solutions are at the top of the list of areas that CFOs see as key to improving their organisation's cyber posture.

Indeed, modernisation, especially of operational technology, is still a problem at many organisations. Outdated tech and managing its vulnerabilities are top barriers to improving security of operational technology, CISOs, CIOs, and CTOs say.

Complexity, too, remains a big concern. Simplifying and consolidating the software portfolio is a top 2023 priority among respondents that have been breached in the past three years. Our 2022 Global Digital Trust Insights report foresaw this trend with 75% reporting that their data, technology and other operations were too complex, causing concerns about cyber risk.

It's telling that another major focus among the previously breached is the elimination of technical debt — the literal and figurative costs of cutting corners in development for the sake of speed. For the rest, however, it ranks near the bottom of the list of cyber transformation goals. Considering this uncertainty in relation to the cyber budget, it is of particular importance for Dutch companies to focus their efforts and available resources on topics perceived to make the most difference in the near future. Organisations should consider consolidating technology solutions in order to simplify the technology stack/infrastructure, as well as investing in solving talent gaps within their cyber workforce.

CFO support more resources to improve their organisation's cyber posture



Q: In which areas do you plan to increase resources the most to improve the cybersecurity posture of the organisation in the next 12 months? Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Q: In which areas do you plan to increase resources the most to improve the cybersecurity posture of the organisation in the next 12 months? Base: 326 CFOs

39%

37%

36%

33%







The supply chain is a focal point for cyber and other threats, competitive and macroeconomic pressures, and ESG concerns.

More than half (56%) of CROs and COOs tell us they're **extremely or very concerned** about their ability to withstand supply chain attacks.

Only about one-quarter strongly agree that their operations workforce has the needed digital skills, or that they have invested enough, to prevent cyber attacks from disrupting their supply chain.

And they worry that their ability to control these threats rests partly in the hands of third parties that are ill-equipped to protect them. Only one-fifth strongly agree that their thirdparty partners and suppliers have invested or are doing enough to prevent supply chain disruptions from cyber attacks; 13% disagree.

<u>More than half</u> (56%) of CROs and COOs tell us they're **extremely or very concerned** about their ability to withstand supply chain attacks.

Operational technologies: More, better solutions needed

Only about one-third of all survey respondents say they have fully mitigated the risks associated with the convergence of OT and IT or the risks from increased use of Internet of Things.

Another area of concern to COOs and CROs is operational technology (OT) security. As OT technologies and solutions grow more sophisticated — using artificial intelligence and machine learning to increase automation in manufacturing plants, for instance — organisations are struggling to keep up with making their operations secure and safe.

Not only CROs and COOs see these challenges. CISOs and CIOs are aware of them, too. Between them, however, lie differences in what each views as the greatest hindrance to modern and fully secure operations.

- Both groups say having inadequate tech solutions is a top hindrance to improving OT. They also want solutions that specifically address OT security.
- But for CISOs/CIOs, the number-one obstacle comes from using outdated software and vulnerabilitymanagement tools. Altogether, 27%, including CROs, say this is a problem.
- At the same time, CROs/COOs think a more expansive approach to OT cyber risk is in order — one that considers not only business and financial risks but also health, safety and environmental risks. That these concerns aren't getting equal consideration plays the number-one role in hampering OT improvements, they say.

While aware of these deficiencies, CISOs and CIOs also point out that their organisations lack a complete and accurate asset inventory of OT data, people, systems and facilities — critical to operational resilience.

CISO, CIO, CTO view



Q: What are your most significant challenges to improving operational technology (OT) in your organisation? Rank the top five. Base: 1,253 ClOs, CISOs, CTOs and other senior IT and security executives; 711 CROs, COOs, and risk and operations executives Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Message to COOs

As your enterprise operations become increasingly digital, you recognise the importance of working with cybersecurity teams to keep it all secure — and to do more to make up for deficiencies among third-party partners and suppliers.

Your risk, internal audit and compliance teams may already be working with cybersecurity teams on a number of cyber tasks. Half of the CROs/COOs surveyed say these teams are jointly monitoring and prioritising risks consistently. Another one-third are doing so sometimes.

This teamwork is paying off. Nearly four-fifths (79%) of respondents say their cyber team has made progress in securing OT during the past year. Nearly three-quarters say they've seen better collaboration between cyber and OT teams — certainly no coincidence.

But supply-chain and OT attacks have not made it to the top of list in terms of likely threats, which may create complacency. Remain vigilant. We've already seen this year

CRO, COO view



what can happen when companies let their guard down regarding the software supply chain, and OT attacks are on the rise. Cyber-threat actors seeking a way into your systems often target the point of least resistance, so don't let your domain be that point.

CALL TO ACTION:

Work actively with your CISO to have your teams collaborate on OT and supply chain security from daily tasks such as monitoring to bigger-picture ones such as governance. Ask together how you can build your resilience to interruptions or delays in your supply chain as well as attacks on your OT systems. And work with your CIO and CISO to establish a process for creating cyber risk management plans whenever you're making a major change in your operations.

CROs and CISOs responding to risk with resilience



"Risk" is the word in cyber today. More and more, cybersecurity teams are working together with those in risk, internal audit and compliance, a sign that cyber is taking an important place as an enterprise risk-management priority.

A growing number of CROs, CAEs, and chief compliance officers recognise that cyber means business. Half of respondents say cyber teams are "consistently" monitoring and prioritising risks in tandem with these other functions. Roughly another third are doing so some of the time.

50%	Monitoring risks consistently
50%	Prioritising risks
49%	Have a common understanding of how cyber risks fit in enterprise risk management
49%	Reporting to the board
48%	Responding to cyber attacks and breaches together
45%	Applying a common data governance model
44%	Developing a common view of risks and threats across the ecosystem
44%	Quantifying risks
43%	Providing the business unit leaders (the risk owners) the tools to better manage the risks on the front lines/operations
41%	Applying a common digital governance model
40%	Following one operating model for the division of responsibilities among risk and cyber functions

Those with a breach in their enterprise's history are much more likely to consistently respond in tandem to cyber intrusions — 50% as opposed to 38% of non-breached companies.

The "all for one, one for all" approach to cyber risk doesn't happen as often as it might seem at first glance, however. Only 7% say cyber teams work consistently with other risk functions in all risk-related activities. CROs/COOs have work to do in this area.

CROs and COOs do tend to applaud the performance of their organisations' cyber and privacy teams. Nearly half say these teams are meeting important goals exceptionally well, including putting controls in place to prevent serious disruptions — important for business resilience. However, only 5% of CROs/COOs think cyber and privacy meet all expectations "exceptionally."

Tests of resilience in 2023

"Life is what happens while you're making other plans," the saying goes. It's also true of business.

Resilience means being able to stay on track even when unexpected problems arise: A catastrophic cyber attack. A global recession. A new health crisis or resurgence of COVID-19. Rising inflation.

These are our respondents' top concerns for the next 12-24 months. But few appear ready to handle them with grace.

Taking an "all hazards" approach to identifying sources of disruption is necessary for any organisation in light of today's risk environment. Yet only 7% of senior executives say they're taking truly integrated, holistic approaches to five key capabilities that define resilience.

The good news for CROs/COOs is that 62% are handling risk holistically. But in all other areas — incident response, business continuity, disaster recovery — roughly half of organisations appear to treat each breach as a one-off rather than integrating the lessons learned from the various resilience core competencies.

This approach, CROs/COOs are realising, is akin to patching leaks with duct tape rather than making permanent repairs, a much more effective approach.

Fragmented or expansive: What's your approach to organisational resilience?

Uses individual, pre-defined plans and processes designed for responding to specific disruptions	Promotes an integrated and agile operating model that can respond to a diverse set of disruptive events
53%	47%
Focuses on isolated risk scenarios and how to address recovery for that specific disruption	Develops a broad understanding of risks that corporations now face, and how to continue operations amid simultaneous risks across the entire organisation
38%	62%
Reacts to a disruption by invoking plans after an incident, and focusing on recovery to return to business operations after a failure or incident	Takes an anticipatory and preventative approach by assuming that incidents will occur, and embedding resilience capabilities to withstand an occurring disruption
47%	53%
Considers high-priority critical systems and operations required for continued operations	Considers secondary and tertiary dependencies, not only high-priority critical systems and processes, that the organisation relies upon
56%	44%
Addresses recovery and business continuity in an independent manner with individual platform and service teams	Formally coordinates and integrates business continuity / disaster recovery, crisis management, incident preparedness / response, and threat intelligence
48%	52%

Q: For each of the following paired statements, which statement better describes your organisation's current cyber resilience approach and capability? Base: 3,522

Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Message to CROs

The 2023 scenarios require the C-suite to work together.

Breaches, it's said, are inevitable. A graceful response — one that severely limits the damage bad actors can cause — is largely a function of the groundwork you've done to lay a strong, resilient cyber foundation.

Increasingly, financial authorities around the world are collaborating to test the resilience of financial institutions. And regulatory guidance and enforcement is beginning to spread beyond financial services.

You, in partnership with CISOs, should make a case to the CEO and board: true organisational resilience requires much coordination among the entire C-suite, and they need to lead the way.

CEOs may need the occasional nudge to get past their comfort zone, especially if they're in a state of inertia. They may think they don't need to act because the company already has crisis management, business continuity or disaster recovery plans. But how coordinated are these plans? Has the organisation tested them? Can the organisation recover within the time objectives it has established?

CALL TO ACTION: Revisit your risk appetite so you know your resilience limits — the specific meaning of resilience depends partly on an organisation's risk tolerance and appetite. Rework crisis, business continuity, and disaster recovery plans into a cohesive enterprise resilience plan. Remain in sync with senior executives so you can establish a coordinated approach that keeps the company on track if and when issues arise.



Collaboration on data security and privacy protection is urgent

Companies are becoming adept at using data to better understand what customers want and give it to them. Data is now part and parcel of their customer-centric digital transformation.

A third of CMOs, CDOs and CPOs say they always use data to monitor customer feedback and create personalised customer experiences. More than a quarter consistently use data to find underserved segments and grow their business.

To capture lasting value from this transformation, companies need to process and manage data and algorithms intelligently and efficiently. At the same time, they should address public ethics and privacy concerns and comply with regulatory standards.

But how many really take customer consent and privacy seriously? The approaches to data management and governance that senior executives report is eye-opening — and at the same time, unsurprising.

A third of CMOs, CDOs and CPOs say they always use data to monitor customer feedback and create personalised customer experiences. More than a quarter consistently use data to find underserved segments and grow their business.

To serve customers, data is becoming a must-have tool

% whose organisations always collect and use customer data for the following purposes



Q: To what extent does your organisation collect and process / use customer data for the following objectives? Base: 412 CDOs, CPOs, CMOs and senior executives of customer-facing functions Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Data security and privacy are the Achilles' heel of many organisations

Only 5% always implement all these practices and policies



Q: On a scale of 1 to 10, to what extent has your organisation mitigated the cybersecurity risks associated with each of the following in the last 12 months? Base: 3,522

Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Half say they might sometimes use customer data without express consent.

Fifty-four percent might not always vet all the third parties and partners with whom they share customer data.

And the same percent might sometimes launch new products and services without a data security and privacy evaluation. Almost 60% say they might not always check for dark patterns in the way they design the applications their customers use.

In fact, 50% of the executives say that a lack of security and governance is the top hindrance to their greater use of data for decision-making — edging out a want of data accessibility (47%), accuracy (42%), and usability (42%).



Only one-fifth to one-third of the CMOs, CDOs, CPOs, and CISOs strongly agree that their cyber and privacy programme or team:

- Gives them confidence in their ability to nurture trust (27%)
- Helps their marketing function comply efficiently and effectively with regulations (25%)
- Enables them to think through any trade-offs between security and privacy on the one hand and profitable growth on the other (24%)
- Gives them a competitive edge in the marketplace (24%)
- Gives them a competitive advantage with customers (21%)

Message to CDOs and CPOs

You know that you've got to do a better job of governing data and protecting privacy. Among winning companies identified in the PwC's <u>2022 Market Winners' Survey</u>, handling of customer data to improve trust and sharing data-privacy terms in customer-friendly language ranked at the top of cybersecurity investment plans for the next two years.

The teams that would need to carry out these plans are already engaged. The CMOs, CDOs, and CPOs we surveyed say they have very effective working relationships with:

- Chief data officer (41%)
- Customer data analytics team (41%)
- Trust and safety team (41%)
- Chief data scientist (40%)
- Chief digital officer (40%)
- Privacy team (39%)
- Product development team (37%)
- Marketing risk officer (37%)
- DevOps teams (34%)
- CISO (31%)

The potential benefits of a <u>privacy-first business strategy</u> are massive in boosting customer loyalty, increasing increased consent to use data and improving customer satisfaction.

But the potential for disjointed efforts is also huge. Responsibilities overlap among the senior executives – CISO, CDO, and CPO – who can lead the charge on data governance and privacy protection.

Yet CDO positions don't exist in most organisations. Only 21% of the largest 2,500 companies worldwide have a CDO at senior executive level, and these are concentrated in a few sectors (insurance, banking and media and entertainment) and regions (the Americas), according to PwC's <u>2021 Chief</u> <u>Data Officer study</u>. Forty-two percent of CDOs are not C-suite members.

How can you govern and secure your customer data so it stays private as well as safe for the business to use? Start with the governors. Articulate your goals, and understand the different responsibilities and the hand-offs.

CALL TO ACTION: The CDO, CPO, and CISO should create and work off one playbook to cover all the important angles of data security and privacy, including governance, accessibility and accuracy.

CISOs and CHROs are breaking old molds



Attrition is a growing problem for 39% of CISOs, CIOs, and CTOs. It's hindering progress on cyber goals for another 15%.

In response, perhaps, CISOs and CHROs are breaking old molds to fill cyber positions faster and retain the talent they have.

Expanding qualifications helps companies find new talent

Ability to problem-solve 65% Undergraduate degree or higher in any area Certifications in cybersecurity (excluding academic degrees) Industry knowledge Undergraduate degree or higher in cybersecurity, computer science, or engineering Experience in engineering / physical operations Experience in data science Experience in business management or operations Increasing racially/ethnically diversity in the team Increasing gender diversity in the team They're widening search parameters for hires beyond certifications and tech degrees, recognising that some traits — such as problem-solving abilities — are at least as important.

This new thinking can enlarge the pool of candidates. An undergraduate degree or higher in any area has edged out an undergraduate degree in cyber, computer science or engineering as a requirement. For about 10%, neither is even required.

Meanwhile, increasing gender diversity is preferred when candidates are evenly matched in all other qualifications.



Q: In hiring for your cybersecurity team, to what extent do you consider the following characteristics in your final hiring decision? Base: 465 CISOs

To help close the talent gap, CISOs have found three approaches to be among the most effective:

- Upskilling (45%)
- Hiring incentives, e.g., sign-in bonuses (41%)
- Managed services for cyber (36%)

Securing your managed services

Managed security service is second only to network security as a top investment priority for cyber in 2023.

Just as spending and reliance on managed security providers (MSPs) is gaining steam, so has malicious cyber activity

targeting MSPs. In May 2022, the cybersecurity authorities of the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, and the United States issued a joint advisory to companies for safeguarding themselves amid this trend.

About half of the CISOs we surveyed have fully implemented a number of measures to manage their third-party risks.

Fifty-seven percent report disabling MSP accounts that are no longer managing infrastructure. Forty-five percent enforce multi-factor authentication across all services and products.

But more work remains. Only 2.2% have implemented all these security practices across the board.



Companies are finding ways to use managed services securely

Q: Thinking about using managed cybersecurity services, to what extent has your organisation put the following measures in place to manage the risks associated with using external resources to address gaps in your cyber talent and capabilities?

Don't know

Base: 278 respondents who selected managed services as one of the top effective approaches for addressing the gap in cyber talent Source: PwC 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights Survey

Fully implemented

Not implemented and not planning to



Message to CHROs

Employee turnover is rising in a variety of markets, while fears of recession are causing companies anxiety about hiring plans. Amid the uncertainty, they might delay doing anything, especially when they know they need to try something new to avert the crisis amid the phenomena described variously as the "great rethink," "great resignation" and "quiet quitting."

CISOs and risk executives need to help CHROs ascertain the cumulative effects and cascading operational risks of employee attrition. No matter which creative responses businesses employ to retain and hire talent, senior executives also need to help manage reputational risks. CALL TO ACTION: Ask which skills you really need in your cyber programme, update how you recruit for those, and give your cyber talent incentives and growth paths that are reasons to stay. Your reliance on managed services and other external talent resources will only grow. Add cybersecurity measures to contracts.

Scenarios to illustrate need for C-suite collaboration

We've selected three of the cyber event types most concerning to senior executives.

Although the tactics and techniques in these scenarios might require technical expertise to understand, this much should be clear: the consequences of each spill over to areas that executives in operations, finance, data, and enterprise risk management need to address.

The call to action for each C-suite executive isn't intended to be prescriptive. Instead it illustrates the various angles that might need to be addressed for a full and lasting response to cyber attack. In cyber, a disengaged executive is a point of failure.



How will the scenarios organisations face in 2023 test senior executives' ability to work together amid crises and avoid business disruptions?

Catastrophic cyber attack is the nearly unanimous numberone concern. Only CFOs ranked it second, after global recession and alongside worries about another health crisis such as a COVID-19 resurgence.

These scenarios require the C-suite to work together, but cybersecurity may be the only problem that requires all hands on deck to resolve — and one over which an organisation arguably has some control.

Two-thirds of executives consider cybercriminals to be the most significant threat actor to their organisation in the coming year. Cyber attacks are a thriving business and make lucrative careers for cybercriminals.

Cybercrime-as-a-service and off-the-shelf tools allow criminals to perpetrate and orchestrate a variety of profitable attacks. Ransomware operations, for instance, now run as <u>businesses</u> with the main operator "leasing" the ransomware from affiliates. Cybercriminals can then deploy leased ransomware large-scale across multiple targets.

Starting in 2021, the PwC Threat Intelligence group also saw more <u>commercial quartermasters</u>, or companies selling cybercrime tools such as spyware, zero-day exploits, and other types of malware to more customers in many countries.

These global operations make it easier to get started in a life of cybercrime: no longer do threat actors need to develop their own malware. At the same time, distributed malware makes identifying the culprits more difficult.

These commercially available tools are effective against a wide array of targets including, perhaps, government officials and private sector executives. Organisations that have deemed these types of threats outside their realm need to think again.

Cyber tops a broad array of perceived threats

% who rank these in their top five scenarios

A catastrophic cyber attack	50%
Global recession	45%
A resurgence of COVID-19 or a new health crisis	42%
Inflationary environment	38%
Supply chain bottlenecks	34%
A new geopolitical conflict	33%
Commodity market volatility	32%
Credit crunch / significantly reduced access to capital	31%
Significant churn in our workforce	31%
Social instability	31%
A natural disaster or extreme weather event	30%
Sanctions enforcement	25%
A food crisis	21%

Q: Thinking about overall risks to your organisation over the next 12-24 months, please rank the top five scenarios that you are formally incorporating into your organisational resilience plans. Base: 3,522

Scenario 1: Cloud-based attacks

38% expect more serious attacks via the cloud in 2023

The breach:

Attackers exploit a misconfiguration in the company's cloud-hosted internet-facing application and steal user data to sell on the black market.

Consequences:

Costly notifications to data owners. A possible class-action lawsuit against the company. Damage to the enterprise's reputation.

What went wrong:

Inadequate security, no defence in depth, coding errors, inadequate testing of written and library code, improperly encrypted data.

How to work together for better defence:

- CIO: Enable DevSecOps in application development, as well as thorough prelaunch testing. Remediate misconfigurations from both users and automated deployments.
- CISO: Establish and enforce policies and procedures for securing applications and data, vulnerability and penetration testing, regular patching, continuous compliance monitoring, and security event and incident monitoring (SIEM).



- CTO: Require that cloud service providers and third parties provide dashboards and tools to detect misconfigurations across their environments.
- CDO: Confirm that apps comply with privacy requirements and that customer data is partitioned and encrypted for better protection. Put into place solutions that encrypt data at rest, in transit, and while in use.

Scenario 2: Attacks on operational technology

29% of large organisations expect an increase in OT attacks

The breach:

A manufacturing system is impacted by a ransomware event due to exploitable vulnerabilities existing in legacy operating systems.

Consequences:

Production stops as affected systems are shut down to prevent damage from spreading. Impacts ripple through the supply chain.

What went wrong:

Hackers exploit unpatched vulnerabilities to inject ransomware. The exploited vulnerabilities were previously patched in enterprise systems, however due to a lack of patch management, monitoring and detection capabilities for the legacy systems, the vulnerabilities remained undetected.

How to work together for better defence:

- CIO: With CISO and CTO, map convergences and critical interdependencies between IT and OT systems.
- CISO: Work with CIO and CTO to require separation of IT and OT, develop a secure landing zone that obscures OT from direct access, and train employees on proper access and incident response roles.
- CTO: With CISO and CIO, create a plan for patching and monitoring endpoints.



- CRO: Develop methodology to assess the cyber risk present in the OT environment. Include scenarios and rehearse incident response procedures that join IT and OT response processes.
- COO: Weigh cybersecurity in the procurement process for your industrial control systems, in contracting with cloud providers, and in defining service agreements with external service providers.

Scenario 3: Ransomware

45% of security and IT execs expect further rise in ransomware attacks

The breach:

A medical employee opens a document in a phishing email, activating malware.

Consequences:

Service disruption and a near-complete shutdown of the hospital networks.

What went wrong:

Antivirus software was running out-of-date rules that failed to detect malware embedded in the malicious attachment. The lack of multi-factor authentication allowed the attackers to obtain initial access. Unnoticed on the corporate network for weeks, the cybercriminals conducted reconnaissance of the network and eventually compromised a domain admin account, giving them elevated privileges to launch malware that shut down much of the core IT infrastructure and compromised backups.

How to work together for better defence:

- CEO: Support security awareness training throughout the organisation.
- CIO: Review the connections between IT systems and the organisation's environment.
- CTO: Assess the vulnerability of medical devices in a scenario that targets devices.
- COO: Help CIO, CISO size up effects on patient safety in similar scenarios.
- CISO: Bridge security gaps between IT and operations.



- CDO: Work with COO, CISO, CPO to assess damage from theft/corruption of customer data.
- CRO: Conduct test of resilience with crisis and BC/DR teams.
- CFO: Work with CISO, CIO on any disclosures to regulators and the public. Review cyber spending, including cyber insurance, with CISO, CIO in light of discovered vulnerabilities. Decide on your policy for ransomware payment.
- Board: Get insight on management's tabletop exercise to prepare for a ransomware attack. Confirm when the board will be informed about a cyber incident or ransomware attack.

For an example of a post-incident review of a ransomware event, please see <u>Conti cyber attack on the HSE</u>.

About the survey

The 2023 Global Digital Trust Insights is a survey of 3,522 business, technology, and security executives (CEOs, corporate directors, CFOs, CISOs, CIOs, and C-Suite officers) conducted in July and August 2022. Female executives make up 31% of the sample.

Fifty-two percent of respondents are executives in large companies (\$1 billion and above in revenues); 16% are in companies with \$10 billion or more in revenues.

Respondents operate in a range of industries: Industrial manufacturing (24%), Tech, media, telecom (21%), Financial services (20%), Retail and consumer markets (18%), Energy, utilities, and resources (9%), Health (5%), and Government and public services (3%).

Respondents are based in various regions: Western Europe (31%), North America (28%), Asia Pacific (18%), Latin America (12%), Eastern Europe (5%), Africa (4%), and Middle East (3%).

The Global Digital Trust Insights Survey is formerly known as the Global State of Information Security Survey (GSISS).

<u>PwC Research</u>, PwC's global Centre of Excellence for market research and insight, conducted this survey.

Contact us to learn more

Angeli Hoekstra

Cybersecurity & Risk Partner, PwC Netherlands hoekstra.angeli@pwc.com

Sergio Hernando Westerheide

Cybersecurity, Technology Operations and Resiliance Partner,

PwC Netherlands sergio.hernando@pwc.com

Bram van Tiel

Cybersecurity, and Privacy Partner, PwC Netherlands bram.van.tiel@pwc.com

Ivo van Bennekom Digital Identity Partner, PwC Netherlands ivo.van.bennekom@pwc.com

Gerald Horst Digital Identity Partner, PwC Netherlands gerald.horst@pwc.com



© 2022 PwC. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the US member firm or one of its subsidiaries or affiliates, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details. 1358232-2022.